PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference SK04PCT00033	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2004/004288	International filing date (day/month/year) 26 March 2004 (26.03.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 11 April 2003 (11.04.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8tl See relevant information in Form F	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant SONY CORPORATION			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).						
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference						
	to the international preliminary re	port on patentability (Chapte	er i) instead.				
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	·				
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial				
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application				
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).						
			Date of issuance of this report 02 March 2006 (02.03.2006)				
	The International Burea 34, chemin des Colo	mbettes	Authorized officer Masashi Honda				
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35		itzeriand	Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 10				

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERN		IAL SEARCHIN	G AUTHOR	ITY			90.
Го:				PCT	Salalion		
						RITTEN OPINION (IONAL SEARCHIN	
						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
:					Date of mailing (day/month/year)		
Applica	nt's or a	gent's file referenc	e		FOR FURTHER ACTION		
SK0	4PC	00033				See paragraph 2 below	
	•	plication No. 2004/0042	288	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/mont	
Internat	ional Pa	tent Classification	(IPC) or both	national classification an	d IPC	l	
			_				
Applica					_		
SON	X C	DRPORATIO	ON				
1.	This o	pinion contains in	dications rela	ting to the following items	E		
	\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion			
	$\overline{\Box}$	Box No. II	Priority	•	•		
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						plicability	
	\Box	Box No. IV			•		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					r industrial		
Box No. VI Certain documents cited			•				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international			pplication				
		Box No. VIII	Certain obs	ervations on the internation	nal application		
2.	FITD	THER ACTION					
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					chooses an Authority other		
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
	For fu	rther options, see	Form PCT/IS	A/220.			
3.	For fu	rther details, see r	notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.			
Name	ad mail	ing address of the	IS A/IP		Authorized officer		
Name a	ina mail	ing address of the	TOWNY		Authorized officer		
					Telephone No.		
Facsim	He No.				Telephone No.	 	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/004288

Вох	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	_	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	tional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/004288

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Ru citations and explanations su			ale 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; oporting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	4,5,9,10,14,15,18,19,22,23	YES
		Claims	1-3,6-8,11-13,16,17,20,21	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-23	NO.
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-23	YES
		Claims		NO NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 2002-158654, A (Hitachi, Ltd.), 21 May, 2002 (21.05.02) Document 2: JP, 11-344925, A (NEC Corp.), 14 December, 1999 (14.12.99) Document 3: JP, 4-163768, A (Hitachi, Ltd.), 29 October, 1990 (29.10.90)

Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-13, 16, 17, 20 and 21

Document 1 describes a method for encoding digital content, recording, for example, on a recording medium, and distributing, in which (1) the picture data of MPEG data is divided into plural blocks, and (2) only some of the blocks are encoded, to reduce the volume of encoding/decoding processing (see paragraphs [0014]-[0021], [0057]-[0071] and [0080], and Figs. 1, 5 and 6).

Decreasing the rate of blocks to be encoded, for reducing the volume of encoding/decoding processing, is considered to be a matter obvious to a person skilled in the art. So, "keeping the data size of the portion to be encoded sufficiently smaller than the data size of digital data" of claim 2 is considered to be performed naturally in the invention described in document 1.

Furthermore, document 1 describes that (1) the moving image data of MPEG data is divided into picture data consisting of k frames per second, further dividing the picture data of each frame into plural blocks, (2) the picture data of each frame is further divided into plural blocks, and (3) some of the blocks are encoded (see paragraphs [0057]-[0071]). This corresponds to the constitution in the subject matter of claim 3, "(1) digital data is divided into digital data sets respectively having a predetermined size, and (2) some of the digital data in each of the divided digital data sets is encoded."

So, the subject matters of 1-3, 6-8, 11-13, 16, 17, 20 and 21 do not appear to be novel in view of the description of document 1.

Claims 4, 9, 14, 18 and 22

Document 2 describes that the information specifying the portions to be encoded is transmitted as an information frame together with encoded data and non-encoded data (see paragraphs [0016]-[0042] and Figs. 4-11).

A person skilled in the art could have easily used the technique described in document 2 in the invention described in document 1, to arrive at the constitution of claims 4, 9, 14, 18 and 22.

Claims 5, 10, 15, 19 and 23

Document 3 describes that management information containing the locations of encoded files is encoded and recorded in a recording medium together with the encoded files (see page 4, left column, line 10 to lower right column, line 13, and Figs. 3 and 7).

A person skilled in the art could have easily applied the techniques described in documents 2 and 3 to the invention described in document 1, to arrive at the constitution of claims 5, 10, 15, 19 and 23.